
C4

SWORN STATEMENT

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is PMG.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: Title 10 USC Section 301; Title 5 USC Section 2061; E.O. 13526 dated November 22, 1999.
PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately identified.
ROUTINE USES: Your social security number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.
DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of your social security number is voluntary.

1. LOCATION <i>Fort Belvoir, VA</i>	2. DATE (YYYYMMDD) <i>2007 01 22</i>	3. TIME <i>1700 hrs.</i>	4. FILE NUMBER
5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME <i>[REDACTED]</i>	6. SSN <i>[REDACTED]</i>	7. GRADE/STATUS <i>[REDACTED]</i>	

8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS
United States Army Special Operations Command (USASOC), Ft. Bragg, NC

9. I, *[REDACTED]*, WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

I verify that the attached summarized testimony is an accurate representation of the interview which occurred between myself and the investigating Officer, *[REDACTED]* on *10 Jan 07*. I would like to clarify or add the following additional statement concerning this matter.

See attached memorandum, pages 4-6. WAC

- Not Used -

10. EXHIBIT	11. INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT <i>[REDACTED]</i>	PAGE 1 OF <i>6</i> PAGES
-------------	--	--------------------------

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT OF _____ TAKEN AT _____ DATED _____"
THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE BE INDICATED.

EXHIBIT C-4

STATEMENT OF

[REDACTED]

TAKEN AT

Ft. Belvoir, VA

DATED

22 JAN 2007

D. STATEMENT (Continued)

(1) [REDACTED] was very upset with [REDACTED] behavior as a professional officer and Battalion Commander. [REDACTED] was not upset that the allegations were substantiated. [REDACTED] was upset that [REDACTED] did not retire from the Army as previously ~~stated~~ ^{stated} during the command's investigation. As far as I know, [REDACTED] did not try to influence this investigation in any way.

(2) [REDACTED] was very conscious of his image as a professional officer, and as the IG. He constantly ~~exhibited~~ ^{projected} the behavior that I would expect of a professional officer and IG, as described in AR 20-1.

— End of Statement —

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

[REDACTED]

PAGE 2 OF 6 PAGES

PRIVACY ACT INFORMATION

**DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974
PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT
FOR PERSONAL INFORMATION TAKEN DURING
WITNESS TESTIMONY**

AUTHORITY: Title 5 US Code, Section 552a.

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): Information is collected during an investigation to aid in determining facts and circumstances surrounding allegations/problems. The information is assembled in report format and presented to the official directing the inquiry/investigation as a basis for Department of Defense/Department of the Army decision making. The information may be used as evidence in judicial or administrative proceedings or for other official purposes within the Department of Defense. Disclosure of Social Security Account Number, if requested, is used to further identify the individual providing the testimony.

ROUTINE USES:

- a. The information may be forwarded to federal, state or local law enforcement agencies for their use.
- b. May be used as a basis for summaries, briefings or responses to Members of Congress or other agencies in the Executive Branch of the Federal Government.
- c. May be provided to Congress or other federal, state and local agencies, when determined necessary by The Inspector General (DAIG).

MANDATORY OR VOLUNTARY DISCLOSURE AND EFFECT ON INDIVIDUAL NOT PROVIDING INFORMATION:

For Military Personnel: The disclosure of Social Security Account Number is voluntary where requested. Disclosure of other personal information is mandatory and failure to do so may subject the individual to disciplinary action.

For Department of the Army Civilians: The disclosure of Social Security Account Number is voluntary. However, failure to disclose other personal information in relation to your position responsibilities may subject you to adverse personnel action.

For All Other Personnel: The disclosure of Social Security Account Number, where requested, and other personal information is voluntary and no adverse action can be taken against you for refusing to provide information about yourself.

DATE: 22 JAN 2007

SIGNATURE

[Redacted Signature]

STATEMENT OF [REDACTED] TAKEN AT Ft Belvoir VA DATED 22 Jan 07

B. STATEMENT (Continued)

Not Used -

[Large area crossed out with a large X]

AFFIDAVIT

I, [REDACTED], HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE 6 I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INDUCEMENT.

WITNESSES: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (Signature of Person Making Statement)

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 22 day of Jan, 2007 at Ft. Belvoir, VA

FDD, Ft. Leavenworth KS

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS
[REDACTED]
Army National Guard IWA Bland
Belvoir Va
ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

[REDACTED] (Authority to Administer Oaths)

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT [REDACTED]

PAGE 3 OF 4 PAGES

15 Jan 07

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Summarized (sworn and recorded) testimony of [REDACTED] XVIII ABN Corps IG Office, Ft. Bragg, NC, obtained by telephonic interview at Ft. Bragg, NC and Ft. McPherson, GA, on 10 Jan 07, from 1624 to 1753 hours by [REDACTED] the investigating officer.

Name of Witness: [REDACTED]

Grade of Witness: [REDACTED]

SSN of Witness: [REDACTED]

Organization: United States Army Special Operations Command (USASOC)

Duty assignment of Witness: Strategic Plans Officer, USASOC, Ft. Bragg, NC

Telephone number of Witness: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] was interviewed and informed that this was an investigation directed by the Office of Special Counsel (OSC). The witness was informed that the IO has been directed to complete an investigation of OSC disclosures. The witness was informed the IO is not using IG procedures in this investigation, nor is he acting in his IG capacity. The witness was also informed for the OSC investigation the IO is following AR 15-6 type procedures for records release. That means that the witness's identity, and his testimony, may be released for official uses only to various government agencies, to include the President himself. The witness was informed that this testimony may be released outside of official channels under normal FOIA and Privacy Act rules, but the witness's identity should remain protected. The witness was informed of the authority for the inquiry, information required by the Privacy Act of 1974, and of the rights of a witness.

[REDACTED] testified that he served in the XVIII Abn Corps IG office from Jul 03-Oct 06 primarily as the Chief of Inspections and as the Deputy IG when the shop was deployed to Iraq for a year in 2005.

[REDACTED] testified that [REDACTED] was already serving in the office prior to his arrival. He testified that [REDACTED] had returned from Afghanistan in or around May 03.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

AFCG-IG

SUBJECT: Summarized Testimony of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] testified he did not have any knowledge of the case involving allegations against [REDACTED] or specifically if an investigation into the allegations was delayed by [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] testified [REDACTED] was very disgusted with the allegations surrounding [REDACTED] specifically once it looked like they were going to be substantiated. He testified the case, from his cursory standpoint, seemed to be handled appropriately.

[REDACTED] testified he did not have any knowledge of the allegation that the 35th Signal Bde Cdr, [REDACTED] did not properly handle the investigation of an alleged assault in Iraq of [REDACTED] by [REDACTED]. He testified that he also didn't have any knowledge of whether the allegations against [REDACTED] were reported to DAIG in a timely manner.

[REDACTED] testified he had no knowledge of any allegations, or specifically any whistleblower reprisal allegations, in the Fall of 2004 against [REDACTED] prior to their deployment to Iraq.

[REDACTED] testified he had gotten involved in the issue surrounding [REDACTED] NCOER just prior to their redeployment back from Iraq. He testified the Brigade S-1, [REDACTED] was his tent mate and he had asked him the status of the NCOER when it was asked by the IGs back at Ft. Bragg. [REDACTED] testified he remembered the Brigade S-1 admitting that the brigade had held up the completion of the NCOER, and that it was the brigade's fault, but it was not deliberate.

[REDACTED] testified that the Brigade S-1 told him that the brigade commander had a problem with her duty description on the NCOER, and they wanted to make sure it was right. He testified he was told by the brigade that it looked like there was an inflation of her duties and responsibilities. He testified he was told that the brigade commander wanted to ensure the duty description accurately depicted that [REDACTED] was not the Brigade S-4 NCOIC, as what was listed in the duty description, but was back with TF Bragg during the rating period, and not in Iraq.

[REDACTED] testified he did not detect any animosity from the leadership in the Dragon Brigade concerning [REDACTED] NCOER, they just wanted to make sure the NCOER was right.

[REDACTED] testified that he didn't know why some others in the office would testify that [REDACTED] endeared himself to senior leaders, or tried to protect or provide them preferential treatment. He testified that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] would probably lie and say that. [REDACTED] testified that [REDACTED] was very image conscious, but not in a negative way, and some could get the impression he was providing preferential treatment because of it. He testified that he saw no evidence of any preferential treatment given to any senior leader while they were in Iraq.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

25 [REDACTED]

AFCG-IG

SUBJECT: Summarized Testimony of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] testified that [REDACTED] let [REDACTED], the primary Inspector General back at Ft. Bragg (TF BRAGG), do his job, but would occasionally give [REDACTED] steering guidance from Iraq. [REDACTED] testified that [REDACTED] was trying to do the right thing, specifically when it came to reported problems of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] conduct. He testified that [REDACTED] told him the [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were being antagonistic to people who came into the office and fellow employees. [REDACTED] testified that he had received a phone call from one of the employees at Bragg, [REDACTED] telling him that she thought [REDACTED] had crossed the line. He testified she told him that [REDACTED] had approached her and asked her to file a complaint with the DoDIG Hotline against [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] testified that [REDACTED] refused to file the complaint, and he told [REDACTED] about it. He testified that this had started the issues with the eventual disciplinary actions [REDACTED] had to take against [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] among other things. He testified that he thought [REDACTED] was a bit lenient with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] at first.

[REDACTED] testified that once they returned from Iraq in January 2006, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] didn't seem to get along. He testified that [REDACTED] wanted to take back control of the office, and it perturbed [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] was bogged down in a high visibility investigation, and he ([REDACTED] had not done a very good job of handing over that investigation for completion to another IG prior to his departure. He testified this ~~would~~ explain any negative comments [REDACTED] would make. [REDACTED] might [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] testified that he thought [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were persons of the utmost integrity. He admitted that all three were all friends, but would not characterize them as a clique. He testified that he did not think they had "set up" [REDACTED] or [REDACTED], as had been alleged in another person's testimony.

[REDACTED] testified that he thought [REDACTED] is a very up front IG and does things in accordance with AR 20-1.

[REDACTED] recommended I talk with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] in the IG office.

[REDACTED]

Investigating Officer

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

36 [REDACTED]

15 Jan 07

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Summarized (sworn and recorded) testimony of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] USASOC, Ft. Bragg, NC, obtained by telephonic interview at Ft. Belvoir, VA and Ft. McPherson, GA, on 10 Jan 07, from 1624 to 1753 hours by [REDACTED] the investigating officer.

Name of Witness: [REDACTED]

Grade of Witness: [REDACTED]

SSN of Witness: [REDACTED]

Organization: United States Army Special Operations Command (USASOC)

Duty assignment of Witness: Strategic Plans Officer, USASOC, Ft. Bragg, NC

Telephone number of Witness: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] was interviewed and informed that this was an investigation directed by the Office of Special Counsel (OSC). The witness was informed that the IO has been directed to complete an investigation of OSC disclosures. The witness was informed the IO is not using IG procedures in this investigation, nor is he acting in his IG capacity. The witness was also informed for the OSC investigation the IO is following AR 15-6 type procedures for records release. That means that the witness's identity, and his testimony, may be released for official uses only to various government agencies, to include the President himself. The witness was informed that this testimony may be released outside of official channels under normal FOIA and Privacy Act rules, but the witness's identity should remain protected. The witness was informed of the authority for the inquiry, information required by the Privacy Act of 1974, and of the rights of a witness.

[REDACTED] testified that he served in the XVIII Abn Corps IG office from Jul 03-Oct 06 primarily as the Chief of Inspections and as the Deputy IG when the shop was deployed to Iraq for a year in 2005.

[REDACTED] testified that [REDACTED] was already serving in the office prior to his arrival. He testified that [REDACTED] had returned from Afghanistan in or around May 03.

AFCG-IG

SUBJECT: Summarized Testimony of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] testified he did not have any knowledge of the case involving allegations against [REDACTED] or specifically if an investigation into the allegations was delayed by [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] testified [REDACTED] was very disgusted with the allegations surrounding [REDACTED] specifically once it looked like they were going to be substantiated. He testified the case, from his cursory standpoint, seemed to be handled appropriately. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] testified he did not have any knowledge of the allegation that the 35th Signal Bde Cdr, [REDACTED], did not properly handle the investigation of an alleged assault in Iraq of [REDACTED] by [REDACTED]. He testified that he also didn't have any knowledge of whether the allegations against [REDACTED] were reported to DAIG in a timely manner.

[REDACTED] testified he had no knowledge of any allegations, or specifically any whistleblower reprisal allegations, in the Fall of 2004 against [REDACTED] prior to their deployment to Iraq.

[REDACTED] testified he had gotten involved in the issue surrounding [REDACTED] NCOER just prior to their redeployment back from Iraq. He testified the Brigade S-1, [REDACTED] was his tent mate and he had asked him the status of the NCOER when it was asked by the IGs back at Ft. Bragg. [REDACTED] testified he remembered the Brigade S-1 admitting that the brigade had held up the completion of the NCOER, and that it was the brigade's fault, but it was not deliberate. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] testified that the Brigade S-1 told him that the brigade commander had a problem with her duty description on the NCOER, and they wanted to make sure it was right. He testified he was told by the brigade that it looked like there was an inflation of her duties and responsibilities. He testified he was told that the brigade commander wanted to ensure the duty description accurately depicted that [REDACTED] was not the Brigade S-4 NCOIC, as what was listed in the duty description, but was back with TF Bragg during the rating period, and not in Iraq. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] testified he did not detect any animosity from the leadership in the Dragon Brigade concerning [REDACTED] NCOER, they just wanted to make sure the NCOER was right.

[REDACTED] testified that he didn't know why some others in the office would testify that [REDACTED] endeared himself to senior leaders, or tried to protect or provide them preferential treatment. He testified that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] would probably lie and say that. [REDACTED] testified that [REDACTED] was very image conscious, but not in a negative way, and some could get the impression he was providing preferential treatment because of it. He testified that he saw no evidence of any preferential treatment given to any senior leader while they were in Iraq.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SUBJECT: Summarized Testimony of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] testified that [REDACTED] let [REDACTED], the primary Inspector General back at Ft. Bragg (TF BRAGG), do his job, but would occasionally give [REDACTED] steering guidance from Iraq. [REDACTED] testified that [REDACTED] was trying to do the right thing, specifically when it came to reported problems of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] conduct. He testified that [REDACTED] told him the [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were being antagonistic to people who came into the office and fellow employees. [REDACTED] testified that he had received a phone call from one of the employees at Bragg, [REDACTED] telling him that she thought [REDACTED] had crossed the line. He testified she told him that [REDACTED] had approached her and asked her to file a complaint with the DoDIG Hotline against [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] testified that [REDACTED] refused to file the complaint, and he told [REDACTED] about it. He testified that this had started the issues with the eventual disciplinary actions [REDACTED] had to take against [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] among other things. He testified that he thought [REDACTED] was a bit lenient with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] at first.

[REDACTED] testified that once they returned from Iraq in January 2006, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] didn't seem to get along. He testified that [REDACTED] wanted to take back control of the office, and it perturbed [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] was bogged down in a high visibility investigation, and he [REDACTED] had not done a very good job of handing over that investigation for completion to another IG prior to his departure. He testified this would explain any negative comments [REDACTED] would make.

[REDACTED] testified that he thought [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] were persons of the utmost integrity. He admitted that all three were all friends, but would not characterize them as a clique. He testified that he did not think they had "set up" [REDACTED] or [REDACTED], as had been alleged in another person's testimony.

[REDACTED] testified that he thought [REDACTED] is a very up front IG and does things in accordance with AR 20-1.

[REDACTED] recommended I talk with [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] in the IG office.

[REDACTED]

Investigating Officer

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY